

DEEP USE ONLY:

Date Received: Project Number:

Part 1: Clean Water Fund Application Form

Please note that this application for funding consists of two parts:

- Part 1 is information to be sent to the **Department of Energy & Environmental Protection** at the address indicated in this form.
- Part 2 is information to be sent to the Office of the State Treasurer at the address indicated in this form.

Print or type unless otherwise noted.

Applications deemed incomplete will be rejected

Section 1: Project Contact Information

1. Applicant:

Name: Brookfield WPCA

Mailing Address: 53A Commerce Road, Unit 1

 City/Town: Brookfield
 State: CT
 Zip Code: 06804

 Business Phone: 203-775-7319
 ext.
 Fax: 203-775-2614

Email: office@brookfieldwpca.com

2. Authorized Representative (An official of the Community or wastewater system that is authorized to

contractually obligate the applicant with respect to the proposed project.):

Name: Nelson Malwitz Title: WPCA Chairman

Mailing Address: 53A Commerce Road, Unit 1

City/Town: **Brookfield** State: **CT** Zip Code: **06804**

Business Phone: 203-733-3190 ext. Fax:

Email: nelson@brookfieldwpca.com

3. Applicant Staff Contact (Community Representative to be contacted directly for information if different

from authorized representative):

Name: Kristi McPadden Title: Executive Administrator

Mailing Address: 53A Commerce Road, Unit 1

 City/Town: Brookfield
 State: CT
 Zip Code: 06804

 Business Phone: 203-775-7319
 ext. 1000
 Fax: 203-775-2614

Email: kristi@brookfieldwpca.com

4. Applicant Chief Financial Officer

Name: Matt Allred, Accountant (Bliss, Allred & Company, LLC)

Mailing Address: 153 South Main Street, Suite 6

 City/Town: Newtown
 State: CT
 Zip Code: 06470

 Business Phone: 203-744-1041
 ext.
 Fax: 203-744-4040

Email: mallred@blissallred.com

Section 1: Project Contact Information (continued)

5. Bond Counsel

Firm Name: Hawkins, Delafield & Wood LLP

Mailing Address: 20 Church Street

 City/Town: Hartford
 State: CT
 Zip Code: 06103

 Business Phone: 860-275-6261
 ext.
 Fax: 860-527-5786

Contact Person: Laurie Hall Title: Counsel

Email: Ihall@hawkins.com

6. Consulting Engineer

Firm Name: CDM Smith

Mailing Address: 77 Hartland Street, Suite 201

City/Town: East Hartford State: CT Zip Code: 06108

Business Phone: 860-529-7615 ext. Fax: 860-290-7845

Contact Person: Joseph Laliberte Title: P.E., BEE

Email: lalibertejl@cdmsmith.com

7. Other (Role)

Firm Name: Langan Engineering (Support Engineer)
Mailing Address: 707 Westchester Avenue, Suite 304

City/Town: White Plains State: NY Zip Code: 10604

Business Phone: 914-323-7400 ext. Fax: 914-323-7401

Contact Person: Chuck Utschig Title: P.E.

Email: cutschig@langan.com

8. Other (Role)

Firm Name: Allingham, Readyoff & Henry, LLC

Mailing Address: **54 Bridge Street**

 City/Town: New Milford
 State: CT
 Zip Code: 06776

 Business Phone: 860-350-5454
 ext.
 Fax: 860-350-5457

Contact Person: Jeffrey Sienkiewicz Title: WPCA Attorney

Email: jsienkiewicz@allinghamlaw.com

Section 2: Supporting Documents

Please check the attachments submitted as verification that **all** applicable documents have been submitted with this application form. When submitting any supporting documents, please label the documents as indicated in this part (e.g., Section 2(a)(1), etc.) and be sure to include the applicant's name.

The following docu	ımen	ts a	re required for all Projects:		
Section 2(a):	Inc	lude	the following:		
	\boxtimes	1.	All proposed sub-agreements for technical services, or a copy of the DEEP engineering approval letter for those services.		
	\boxtimes	2.	A resolution adopted by the applicant or its Water Pollution Control Authority authorizing a specific person to file the application and execute the agreement. The resolution must be certified and sealed by the Town/City clerk (current required format attached).		
	\boxtimes	3.	Incumbency Certificate for the authorized representative holding the title named in the authorizing resolution signed and sealed by the Town/City clerk (current required format attached)		
	\boxtimes	4.	A signed Clean Water Fund Memorandum to demonstrate a commitment to comply with all Minority Business Enterprise/Women's Business Enterprise (MBE/WBE) requirements for engineering services. Memoranda are available on the DEEP website		
	\boxtimes	5.	A schedule for the completion of the project work		
If not already include Projects	ded i	n Se	ection 2(a) the following additional documents are required for Planning		
Section 2(b):		1.	A Plan of Study which includes the proposed planning area, an identification of the entity or entities that will be conducting the planning, the nature and scope of the proposed planning project and public participation program, including a schedule for completion of specific tasks, and an itemized description of the estimated engineering report costs		
	The following <i>additional</i> documents are required for Design Projects and Construction Projects (Section 2(a) must be submitted also):				
Submit constru	ctio	n a	pplication no sooner than 60 days prior to bid opening		
Section 2(c):	Inc	lude	the following:		
		1.	A bonding resolution for the total cost of the project certified and sealed by the Town/City clerk (required for design and construction projects - only submit one copy, sample format attached)		
		2.	An engineering report meeting all the requirements set forth in section 22a-482-3(a) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies or a copy of the DEEP engineering report approval letter justifying the project. (required for design and construction projects - only submit one copy)		
		3.	Proposed or executed inter-municipal agreements, if necessary, for the construction and operation of the proposed pollution abatement facility for any facility serving or connecting two or more municipalities (required for design and construction projects - only submit one copy)		
		4.	For any financial assistance from other funding sources as identified in Section 5, attach a summary of terms and a copy of the agreement(s). (required for design and construction projects - only submit one copy)		

Section 2: Supporting Documents (continued)

The following add submitted also).	<i>litional</i> d	ocun	nents are required only for Construction Projects: (Section 2(a) must be		
☐ Section 2(d):	Include	the t	following:		
	<u> </u>	eas and rea	provided by an attorney, a final legal opinion stating that the acquisition of all sites, sements or rights-of-way necessary to assure undisturbed construction and operation d maintenance of the proposed project have been or will be acquired. The cost of any all property eligible for funding assistance must reflect fair market value as determined standard recognized appraisal methods		
	□ 2.	A c	copy of the DEEP plans and specifications approval letter		
	□ 3.	witl	copy of the DEEP approval letter for the user charge system developed in accordance in the requirements set forth in section 22a-482-3(e) of the Regulations of Connecticut ite Agencies		
	4 .	If b	ids have been received:		
		a.	Two (2) copies of a tabulation of all bids received.		
		b.	Two (2) copies of the proposal of the bidder to whom you propose awarding the contract.		
		C.	A letter, signed by the authorized representative of the Applicant, indicating the name of the bidder to whom you propose awarding the contract and the amounts involved.		
		d.	Resubmittal of updated Sections 3 through 6.		
		e.	One (1) copy of the bid advertisement for the project, with affidavit of publication.		
		f.	One (1) copy of the most recent Clean Water Fund Memorandum completed and signed by the bidder to whom you propose awarding the contract. If this application is being submitted 14 days or more beyond the bid opening include Subcontractor Verification Forms for each MBE or WBE subcontractor to be employed in the completion of this contract along with a copy of the subcontractor's current, valid MBE/WBE certification.		
		g.	One (1) copy of the State of Connecticut Department of Administrative Services Contractor Prequalification Certificate for the bidder to whom you propose awarding the contract.		
		h.	One (1) copy of the State of Connecticut Department of Administrative Services Contractor Prequalification Update (Bid) Statement completed and signed by the bidder to whom you propose awarding the contract.		
Section 3: Proje	ect Info	rma	tion		
1. Type of Project	(check a	ll tha	t apply): 🛛 Planning 🔲 Design 🗍 Construction		

1.	Type of Project (check all that apply):		Design	☐ Construction				
2. Fa	Project Description: The Brookfield Water cilities Plan evaluate the wastewater manag							
- -	Review and document previous reports ar Establish condition of collection system ar	•						
-	- Develop life cycle costs for facilities.							
-	Evaluate sewer needs/extension areas							
-	Review of procedures and benchmarking							
-	Develop a Wastewater Facilities Plan that long-term operation and maintenance of the		-	an to serve as a road map for				

Section 4: Project Costs Summary

	Α	В	С	D	E	F
	Total Project Cost	Funding from Other Sources	Local Share	Eligible Project Cost	Grant Amount	Loan Amount
Technical	•					
Services:	\$299,980		\$134,991	\$299,980	\$164,989	
Planning:						
Technical						
Services:						
Design:						
Technical						
Services:						
Construction Admin:						
Technical						
Services:						
Resident Representative: Technical						
Services:						
Tech Serv at a later date:						
Subtotal Tech						
Srvs:						
Legal						
Other:						
Other.						
Subtotal Legal &						
Other						
Construction						
Contract No.:						
Contract No.:						
Contract No.:						
Later Contracts						
Equipment/ Materials						
Subtotal	\$299,980		\$134,991	\$299,980	\$164,989	
Contingency						
Interest During						
Construction						
Other:						
Other:						
Grand Total	\$299,980		\$134,991	\$299,980	\$164,989	

Section 5: Project Funding Summary

Clean Water Fund		Other Funding Sources		
(check all that apply and provide an	nount)	(check all that apply and provide a	mount)	
☐ 20% Grant		☐ USDA – Rural Development		
☐ 25% Small Community Grant		☐ Utility Assistance Grant		
☐ 30% Nitrogen Grant		Other (specify):		
☐ 50% CSO Grant		☐ Other (specify):		
	\$164,989	Local Share (where there may be project costs ineligible	\$134,991	
☐ CWF Loan		for any funding, or for balance of funding on a planning project, where no loan exists)		
Total CWF Assistance	\$164,989	Total Other Assistance	\$134,991	
		Total Project Cost:	\$299,980	

Section 6: Applicant Certification

The authorized representative must sign this section. An application will be considered incomplete unless the required signature(s) are provided.

"I hereby make application to the State of Connecticut for gran	ts and/or loans for the project described herein.
I have personally examined and am familiar with the informatic attachments thereto, and I certify that based on reasonable invindividuals responsible for obtaining the information, the subm to the best of my knowledge and belief.	estigation, including my inquiry of the
I certify that this application is on complete and accurate forms alteration of the text."	s as prescribed by the commissioner without
Nelson Melu	maret 22,2019
Signature of Authorized Representative	Date
NELSON MALWITZ	Title (if applicable)
Name of Authorized Representative (print or type)	Title (if applicable)

Note: Part 1 is information for the Department of Energy & Environmental Protection. Please submit two copies of the completed Part 1: Clean Water Fund Application Form and all Supporting Documents to:

SUSAN HAWKINS, SUPERVISING ACCOUNTANT BUREAU OF FINANCIAL AND SUPPORT SERVICES, 1ST FLOOR DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 79 ELM STREET HARTFORD, CT 06106-5127

Clean Water Fund Application Form Wastewater Facilities Plan Town of Brookfield, CT

Part 1: Section 2(a)(1)

Copy of DEEP Engineering Approval Letter for Sub-Agreements

5700 form sent to CT DEEP on January 2, 2019 [also under Part 1: Section 2(b)(1) of this application], waiting on approval

Authorizing Resolution Required To Obtain Clean Water Fund Financing For Water Pollution Abatement Facilities

Be it resolved that in connection with the development of, and funding for, a Brookfield Sewer Service Facilities Plan, it is in the best interests of the Brookfield Water Pollution Control Authority to enter into contracts with the Department of Energy & Environmental Protection. In furtherance of this resolution, the Chairman of the Authority, currently Nelson Malwitz, is duly authorized to enter into, and sign said contracts on behalf of the Brookfield Water Pollution Control Authority. The Chairman is further authorized to provide such additional information and execute such other documents as may be required by the state or federal government in connection with said contracts and to execute any amendments, rescissions, and revisions thereto.

The Town Clerk, Andrea DiStephan is authorized to impress the seal of the Town of Brookfield on any such document, amendment, rescission, or revision.

I, Andrea DiStephan, the Clerk of the Town of Brookfield, do hereby certify this to be a true copy of the resolution duly adopted at the official meeting of the Brookfield Water Pollution Control Authority on March 27, 2019, and that it has not been rescinded, amended or altered in any way, and that it remains in full force and in effect.

[Town Clerk]

MAR 28 2019

Date



INCUMBENCY CERTIFICATE

I, Andrea DiStephan, the undersigned clerk of the Town of Brookfield, Connecticut, DO HEREBY CERTIFY as follows:

On the date of this certificate the officers of the Brookfield Water Pollution Control Authority listed below were duly chosen, qualified and acting officers of the Municipality, holding offices indicated in the official titles following their respective names with the terms of service indicated thereafter.

		Term of Servi	ce
<u>Name</u>	Official Title	From	<u>To</u>
Nelson Malwitz	Chairman	2/5/18	2/7/22
Louise Trojanowski-Marconi	Vice Chairman	2/1/16	2/3/20
Tulio Lopez	Regular Member	2/1/16	2/3/20
Phillip Kurtz	Regular Member	2/1/16	2/3/20
Loretta Donovan	Regular Member	2/1/16	2/3/20
Matthew Brown	Alternate Member	2/5/18	2/7/22
James Murray	Alternate Member	2/5/18	2/7/22
Michael DelValle	Alternate Member	2/1/16	2/3/20

I further certify that the seal which has been impressed on said Agreement and upon this certificate is the legally adopted, proper and only official seal of the Town of Brookfield.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have signed this certificate and impressed on this certificate the seal of the Town of Brookfield as of the 25^{+0} day of January, 2019.

[SEAL]

Clerk

Clean Water Fund Application Form Wastewater Facilities Plan Town of Brookfield, CT

Part 1: Section 2(a)(4)
Signed Clean Water Fund Memorandum

79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

Clean Water Fund Memorandum (2016-002)

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Subcontractor Participation on Clean Water Fund (CWF) Projects for Engineering Services

I. PURPOSE

The municipality, through its Engineering Consultant must make specified good faith efforts to attain the DBE goals as specified in this document in Section III. This is an administrative condition of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Grant which funds Clean Water Fund Projects.

This memorandum supersedes the Clean Water Fund Memorandum dated June 24, 2014

II. GOVERNING STATUTE OR REGULATION

General Compliance (Federal), 40 CFR, Part 33: The municipality, through its Engineering Consultant must comply with the requirements of EPA's Program for Utilization of Small, Minority, and Women's Business Enterprises (MBE/WBE).

III. EPA REQUIREMENTS

The following clause shall be included in all Engineering Services contracts and contract amendments to be funded under the CWF:

The requirement for DBE subcontractor participation, expressed as a percentage of the total eligible contract amount, shall be a minimum of 8.0 percent with the following makeup:

MBE 3.0 percent WBE 5.0 percent

This requirement shall apply to all Engineering Services contracts that originate with a value over \$100,000. Within any phase of a project where the total of the original contract price plus all contract amendments is less than \$100,000, then no MBE/WBE participation will be required.

IV. CERTIFICATION

A DBE must be certified at the time that the subcontract for their services is executed. A business that is pending new certification, recertification, or whose certification has expired cannot be counted toward the goals.

In the case where a subcontractor DBE is certified as both a MBE and a WBE:

- 1. The prime contractor may count the entire value of the subcontract as either a MBE or a WBE.
- 2. The prime contractor may choose to split the subcontract between the MBE and the WBE categories to fulfill both goals. If the prime contractor chooses this route:
 - a. They must indicate the dollars to be apportioned to the categories either on the face of the copy of the fully executed subcontract submitted to the DEEP or by some other written method.
 - b. The certification submitted to DEEP must indicate that the principal of the subcontractor is both a woman and a minority.
 - c. For a certification that only identifies the subcontractor as a DBE, additional documentation is required as proof of dual status. In the case of ConnDOT, the detailed information page within their online database suffices as proof.

V. THE SIX GOOD FAITH EFFORTS AS SPECIFICALLY DEFINED BY EPA

The Six Good Faith Efforts are required methods employed by all DEEP Clean Water Fund recipients to ensure that all DBEs have the opportunity to compete for procurements funded by DEEP financial assistance dollars.

- 1. Ensure DBEs are made aware of contracting opportunities to the fullest extent practicable through outreach and recruitment activities. For Indian Tribal, State and Local and Government recipients, this will include placing DBEs on solicitation lists and soliciting them whenever they are potential sources.
- 2. Make information on forthcoming opportunities available to DBEs and arrange time frames for contracts and establish delivery schedules, where the requirements permit, in a way that encourages and facilitates participation by DBEs in the competitive process. This includes, whenever possible, posting solicitations for bids or proposals for a minimum of 30 calendar days before the bid or proposal closing date.
- 3. Consider in the contracting process whether firms competing for large contracts could subcontract with DBEs. For Indian Tribal, State and local Government recipients, this will include dividing total requirements when economically feasible into smaller tasks or quantities to permit maximum participation by DBEs in the competitive process.
- 4. Encourage contracting with a consortium of DBEs when a contract is too large for one of these firms to handle individually.
- 5. Use the services and assistance of the SBA and the Minority Business Development Agency of the Department of Commerce.
- 6. If the prime engineering consultant awards subcontracts, require the prime engineering consultant to take the above steps.

The prime engineering consultant's certification as a DBE has no effect on this requirement. Therefore, if the prime engineering consultant is a DBE, the Six Good Faith Efforts defined above must be employed in the procurement of subcontracts to be secured to achieve the MBE 3.0% and WBE 5.0% participation.

In the case where a DBE is certified as both a MBE and a WBE (a woman minority owed business), the DBE may be split between the MBE and the WBE Categories to fulfill both goals.

VI. ACCEPTABLE CERTIFICATION OPTIONS

- 1. Connecticut Department of Administrative Services (DAS) DEEP will continue to accept DAS certification until such time as other State entities are identified whose certification processes meet the EPA criteria. DAS will only certify Connecticut based firms that meet the criteria under CGS 4a-60g. It is sometimes considered an acceptable practice to count a WBE as a MBE; however, it is at the DEEP Municipal Facilities Unit's discretion in cooperation with the DEEP Clean Water Fund Administration Unit as to whether or not this will be permitted. Requests concerning all such cases must be requested in writing through the DEEP Municipal Facilities Engineer assigned to the project for a determination.
- 2. Connecticut Department of Transportation (ConnDOT) Companies that desire to do business with ConnDOT as well as the DEEP should seek ConnDOT certification which will be accepted by the DEEP. DBE firms are advised that the certification process can take 90 days to complete. ConnDOT will certify both in state as well as out of state firms.
- 3. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) In the event an entity cannot be certified by ConnDOT as a DBE, that entity should seek certification with EPA. Such entities must provide EPA with evidence from ConnDOT denying certification.
- 4. Small Business Administration (SBA-Federal)-SBA certification is available to companies under the Woman Owned Small Business (WOSB) program and the SBA 8(a) Business Development Program (www.sba.gov/8abd/) which has a net worth ceiling of \$250,000 for initial applicants.
- 5. Other states certification- Prime contractors and prime engineering consultants may utilize certification from other states. Such certification must specify the DBE designation. Where there is no DBE certification option within a state, the instance must be presented to the DEEP Financial Administrator assigned to the project for consideration on a per case basis.

VII. DBE COMPLIANCE PROCESS

The Engineering Consultant must submit DBE certification for each subcontractor. Two executed copies of the DBE subcontracts must be submitted to the municipality, who must then submit one copy to the DEEP Financial Administrator as demonstration of compliance with this memorandum.

No payment requests will be processed by DEEP until the executed copies of the subcontracts are on file in the DEEP office.

It is understood that the Engineering Consultant must make and document the good faith efforts as defined above. Should the Consultant not meet the goals, documentation of good faith efforts will be required to be submitted to the DEEP Municipal Facilities Engineer for consideration that the good faith effort was extensive enough to warrant the acceptance of a lower goal for the specific contract in question.

I hereby verify that I have read and understand the DBE requirements in this memorandum and will procure subcontracts whose percentages will meet or exceed the minimums listed above.

Contract Name SKING FIRM WASTEWAM FACUTIES ROW

Name of Engineering Firm

Name and Title of Authorized Officer SUSCIAL Authorized Signature

Town Official and Title Standard Waste CHA MANN WASTEWALL CHA MANN W

VIII. DEFINITIONS

<u>CGS</u>: Connecticut General Statutes

ConnDOT: Connecticut Department of Transportation

CWF: Clean Water Fund

DAS: Connecticut Department of Administrative Services

DBE: Disadvantaged Business Enterprise

<u>DEEP</u>: Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

EPA: Environmental Protection Agency (Federal)

MBE: Minority Business Enterprise

SBA: Small Business Administration (Federal)

WBE: Woman Business Enterprise

WOSB: Woman Owned Small Business (Federal program - SBA)

May 25, 2016

Date

Denise Ruzicka, Director 2
Planning and Standards Division

Bureau of Water and Protection and Land Reuse

Clean Water Fund Application Form Wastewater Facilities Plan Town of Brookfield, CT

Part 1: Section 2(a)(5)
Schedule for Completion of Project Work

Refer to Task Order in Part 1: Section 2(b)(1) for schedule

Clean Water Fund Application Form Wastewater Facilities Plan Town of Brookfield, CT

Part 1: Section 2(b)(1)
Plan of Study (Task Order)

EXHIBIT G

Engineer's Services, Owner's Responsibilities, Time for Performance, and Method of Payment

CDM Smith

Joe Laliberte, PE Dan R Murphy, PE Kate Biedron, PE

77 Hartland Street, Suite 201 East Hartford, CT 06108 860 529-7615 cdmsmith.com

EXHIBIT A TO AGREEMENT BETWEEN OWNER AND ENGINEER Scope of Work

This is an exhibit attached to and made a part of the Agreement dated , between Brookfield Water Pollution Control Authority (OWNER) and CDM Smith Inc. (ENGINEER) for professional services.

1.0 ENGINEER'S SERVICES

1.1 Study and Report Phase

The duties and responsibilities of ENGINEER during the Study and Report Phase as set forth in this paragraph 1.1 are amended and supplemented as follows:

Background:

The Brookfield Water Pollution Control Authority (BWPCA) is seeking to develop a Wastewater Facilities Plan evaluate the wastewater management needs of the community. The goal of the study is to:

- Review and document previous reports and mapping.
- Establish condition of collection system and pump stations.
- Develop life cycle costs for facilities.
- Evaluate sewer needs/extension areas.
- Review of procedures and benchmarking.
- Develop a Wastewater Facilities Plan that will include a capital improvement plan to serve as a road map for long-term operation and maintenance of the sanitary sewer system.

The general theme of many of these tasks will be for ENGINEER to be provided with what is currently being done, review it, and provide suggestions for improvements, if necessary. Scope of work is as follows:

Task 1 – Document Existing Conditions

- A. Project kickoff activities, including preparing for and facilitating kickoff meeting with BPWCA and submitting meeting minutes.
- B. BPWCA, and their consultant Langan Engineering, has provided ENGINEER with available data, prior studies, mapping, plans, etc. applicable to this study. If additional data is available, it will be collected by ENGINEER at the kickoff meeting. All data will be review by ENGINEER. No time has been included in this proposal for collecting/copying/scanning information as the time is not needed/warranted.

ENGINEER will document physical features of the Town's existing wastewater facilities such as miles of pipe, age, diameter, pump station age, capacity, etc.

ENGINEER will summarize previous planning studies and intermunicipal agreements. Any known deficiencies will be noted.

Task 2 – Collection System Evaluation

A. BPWCA has noted that all the BWPCA pump station run times and wetwell levels, and in many cases flow rates, are captured in real-time and sent by phone connection via Mission Communications and viewable on-line. ENGINEER will review available flow data and pump runtime data previously collected through 2018 and utilize this information to perform a preliminary infiltration / inflow (I/I) analysis.

ENGINEER will estimate the base wastewater flows for each sewershed utilizing water consumption data obtained from Aquarion water company from about 20 percent of properties. For the other approximately 80 percent of properties where water consumption data is not available, ENGINEER will estimate water flows based on industry averages.

Prior analysis of the available flow data estimated that during rain events the I/I can reach 10 to 20 percent of normal flows, which is indicative of a new and very tight sewer system that would likely not warrant further I/I evaluations. ENGINEER will review the I/I study completed by Langan (March 21, 2017), including prior smoke testing results. We will analyze the pump station and in-line flow meter records through 2018 to identify the portion of the flow attributable to sanitary, inflow, and infiltration within each contributing area. The results of this analysis will be compared against the findings of the 2017 Langan study.

- B. *Optional task if needed.* For areas identified in Task 2A of concern, ENGINEER will perform a detailed unit hydrograph analysis using the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) Sanitary Sewer Overflow Analysis and Planning Toolbox (SSOAP) of up to 3 areas and characterize the short-, medium-, and long-duration rainfall-driven I/I response. This analysis will be completed for up to three representative storms that occurred during the metering period. This analysis will also consider seasonal fluctuations in the groundwater table. This analysis will either provide confirmation that no further I/I investigations is necessary, as previously concluded, or identify certain areas of the sewer collection system where further evaluations may be warranted.
- C. *Optional task if needed*. If I/I investigations in certain areas appears to be necessary, for those areas ENGINEER will propose methods for the identification and elimination of extraneous I/I, and prioritize these investigations on a cost-effective basis. ENGINEER will prepare recommendations by sewershed and flow component for

further Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) field investigations. These recommendations will identify the sewersheds that may benefit from additional investigations/study and those that require no further action.

D. ENGINEER will hire JKMuir (WBE) to coordinate, hire, oversee and review the results of a subtractor conducting cleaning and closed-circuit television (CCTV) inspection of the existing sewer mains on Federal Road and Gray's Bridge Road, up to 5,000 linear feet.

Included in this task is an allowance for two police details for up to 8 hours a day during the assumed 5 days of CCTV investigations. The upper limit for police details are \$5,300.

Task 3 – Pump Station Evaluation

A. BWPCA owns and operates 14 wastewater pumping stations of varying age, style, capacity and condition. BWPCA has noted that half or more of the existing pump stations are new or refurbished in the last 10 years. It is anticipated that these new and refurbished stations will need minimal or no recommendations and that the primary focus of the condition assessment will be the other half of the pump stations that are not new or refurbished in the last 10 years.

The goal of evaluating the stations will be for ENGINEER to develop a prioritized long-term capital improvements plan. To accomplish this, ENGINEER will tour BWPCA's 14 wastewater pumping station sites over two consecutive days with a qualified team of three engineers experienced in condition assessments, engineering design and operational needs. A more detailed review of the Caldor, Route 133, and Silvermine stations has been included. Inspections of the stations will generally consist of the following criteria as applicable:

1) General:

- Review site layout plot plan, as-built drawings, and available records of significant maintenance issues for all applicable pump stations.

2) Site/Civil:

- Visually assess the site conditions, such as fencing, security, land area, aesthetics, odors, 100-year flood elevation, access from road and parking, room for snow removal or stockpile, and confined space access.
- Assessment of permits, compile list of permit approvals likely to be required based on recommended improvements.

3) Process/Mechanical:

- Review of the most current equipment operating and maintenance data and record drawings for the applicable pump stations.
- Mechanical assessments at all stations, including bar screen, piping, gates, valves, pumps and support equipment.

- Summarize force main size, material, capacity, length, elevation change, condition, and availability of bypass provisions. ENGINEER will assess pipe material, history of issues, and age of force mains.
- Evaluate on-site/portable backup power generator. ENGINEER will evaluate portable generator connections at each site without on-site generator and provide recommendations for standardizing.

4) Electrical:

- Review the record drawings and equipment data (if applicable) for all pump stations and perform a NEC, NFPA 70 and NFPA 820 code review.
- Perform a conditional and compatibility assessment of the existing electrical equipment.
- Equipment condition assessment shall include the existing motor control centers, variable frequency drives, automatic transfer switches, manual transfer switches (if applicable), switchgear, panel-boards, transformers, lighting, receptacles, conduit and wire.

5) Instrumentation and Controls:

- Perform site automation assessment.

6) Architectural:

- Provide a general assessment of the building (if applicable) components such as walls, doors, windows, louvers, gutters, and roof.
- Assess the need for improvements to accommodate proposed modifications to mechanical equipment and electrical equipment.

7) Structural:

- Provide a general assessment of the building (if applicable) components such as material type and foundation.
- Perform a visual observation from above grade of the existing wet wells. No confined space entry will be included.

8) Plumbing:

- Where applicable, plumbing will assess the water systems, fuel systems, potable water supply (presence of on-site hydrant), fire protection, sanitary systems, venting, roof drainage (if applicable) and sump pumps/sump pump discharge.

9) HVAC:

- Where applicable, HVAC will assess the unit heaters, ventilators, and air handling units.
- Perform a site assessment and conditional assessment of the existing HVAC equipment installed and compliance with NFPA 820.

- B. ENGINEER will formally document pump station operation and maintenance activities. This will include developing standard operating procedures (SOPs), as needed. BWPCA will provide weekly/daily inspection sheets and reports that are stored in GIS for review/incorporating into SOP. SOP will be provided for each individual pump station for a total of 14 SOPs.
- C. ENGINEER will prepare pump station inventory data sheets. Sheets will be two sided. On one side will be pertinent information to the existing pump station, such as site information, pump and motor size and type, electric services, generator information, etc. On the other side will be a map of the pump station showing the route of the force main and the sewer service area to the pump station. The inventory data sheets will be laminated for distribution to O&M staff for use in the field.

Task 4 – Evaluate Sewer Needs Areas

A. ENGINEER will review the prior Future Sewer Service Flow Projections study from 2012. Since that flow projection was completed, the treatment allotment for Brookfield to the Danbury Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) will be reduced from 500,000 gallons per day (GPD) to 380,000 GPD by 2022. ENGINEER will provide an update to that study that will supersede the prior study and be incorporated into the Wastewater Facilities Plan report.

The review will include evaluating the downstream sewer main and pump station/force main capacity from each of the potential sewer needs areas, individually and collectively.

B. ENGINEER will:

- a. Review existing records of septic system construction/age and failures from Board of Health and available soil testing data.
- b. Review lot size and intensity of development based on available GIS information.
- c. Review published soil mapping regarding suitability for septic leaching.
- d. Review public water supplies Aquifer Protection Areas and community wells.
- e. ENGINEER will review existing regulations regarding maintenance and upgrades of on-site (septic) systems and make recommendations for future enhancement, if warranted.
- C. Engineer will update map of sewer needs area.
- D. Consider if any potential sewer needs areas candidates for self-contained treatment systems, including groundwater discharge. Conduct a cursory site screening for facility and subsurface groundwater recharge. For the Candlewood Lake area we will coordinate with BWPCA's consultant performing the study who will provide ENGINEER with proposed flows and treatment options.

Review wastewater disposal options, which are anticipated to included:

- a. Current allotment to Danbury WPCF up to 380,000 GPD.
- b. Costs for additional allotment to Danbury beyond 380,000 GPD, if needed.
- c. On-site treated wastewater disposal, such as groundwater discharge.
- d. Costs for additional discharge to other communities, such as New Milford.

Task 5 - Review of Procedures/Standards and Benchmarking

- A. ENGINEER will review current staffing levels for head count and positions and compare with other WPCA's of like-sized communities without a treatment plant, including benchmarking against available local, regional, and national statistics. This will be done for the existing collection system, as well as forecasting additional staffing levels as the sewer system continues to expand. Results of task, including future staffing recommendations, will be summarized in a technical memorandum that will be included in the appendix of the Wastewater Facilities Plan.
- B. ENGINEER will review BWPCA's existing Rules and Regulations, compare them with other WPCA's in Connecticut and best practices nationally, and provide recommendations for changes, if necessary. Result of this task, including language recommendations, will be summarized in a technical memorandum that will be included in the appendix of the Wastewater Facilities Plan.
- C. ENGINEER will review Brookfield's Ordinances, as they related to the wastewater collection system, benchmark them against other WPCA's and best practices nationally, and provide recommendations for changes, if necessary. Result of this task, including language recommendations, will be summarized in a technical memorandum that will be included in the appendix of the Wastewater Facilities Plan.
- D. ENGINEER will review BPWCA's available standards for sewer design and construction, such as design details, technical specifications, developer guidelines, etc., and provide recommendations for developing/updating as necessary. Result of this task will be summarized in a technical memorandum, including attachments for details/standards that are available/developed, that will be included in the appendix of the Wastewater Facilities Plan.

Task 6 - Capital Planning

A. Review existing financial and budgetary data including operating budgets for past three years, capital expenditures, debt service schedules through maturity, customer rates and billings/collections for past three years.

Based on available data, develop a spreadsheet to project likely expenses for next 10 years to provide a baseline forecast.

- B. ENGINEER will estimate the potential revenue that may be generated through new Benefit Assessments and/or connection fees on the properties served by the sewer extensions. Additionally, buildings along existing sewers that are not connected will be identified and summarized. ENGINEER will meet with appropriate Town staff to understand the potential magnitude of system expansion.
- C. Based on the recommended facility plan improvements, develop two alternative projections of future revenue requirements and rates based on alternative implementation schedules for the capital projects and any other programs. The facility plan forecast will include the potential additional revenue from new customer connections.

Prioritize recommendations to develop a phased implementation plan.

D. ENGINEER shall review BWPCA's billing classes versus the State classifications. ENGINEER will assess the BWPCA's current billing approach and evaluate the feasibility/desirability of moving from an ERU equivalency system to flow based rates for at least commercial accounts. This evaluation will require 2 to 3 years water consumption data for the 500 commercial accounts.

ENGINEER shall coordinate with BWPCA to identify a standard meter (assumed to be identical with Aquarion) for the measurement of water use for commercial accounts and will make a recommendation of an appropriate meter reading system. ENGINEER will coordinate with BWPCA counsel who will update the existing ordinance as necessary.

Task 7 – Wastewater Facilities Plan Report

- A. Allowance for up to eight total meeting(s).
- B. The recommendations for future expansion will be reviewed in conjunction with the Town of Brookfield 2015 Plan of Conservation and Development and the State Office of Policy & Management's Conservation & Development Policies: The Plan for Connecticut (2013-2018). Areas of conflict will be identified for resolution, and the recommended mapping will be reviewed with the BWPCA.
- C. The ENGINEER will prepare a draft written Wastewater Facilities Plan report describing the work completed in Tasks 1 through 6, the conclusions of the analysis, and recommendations. The report will provide long-term capital planning estimates and serve as a blueprint for long-term O&M of the sewer system.

The Wastewater Facilities Plan will include photos of each pump station, a listing of any deficiencies identified, and a prioritized list of recommended improvements, such as necessary upgrades, rehabilitation, or replacement. Consideration will be given to: simplicity of operation, ease of maintenance, reliability, accessibility, age, and operation. ENGINEER will prepare planning-level cost estimates for improvements at

each station, identify which stations are most in need of improvements, and assist OWNER in developing a prioritization plan. Once approved, the report shall serve as the basis for future upgrades to the stations.

The ENGINEER will prepare for and present a summary of the comparative analysis to the OWNER at a BWPCA meeting. ENGINEER will revise the draft report based on the feedback from BWPCA.

Final draft report will be submitted to Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (CT DEEP) for review and ultimate approval. ENGINEER will update the report with comments received from CT DEEP on the final draft submittal.

Task 8 - Marketing/Branding

- A. ENGINEER will provide suggested brochures that could be used as bill inserts. Included in this task is preparing and providing informational pamphlets. It is assumed BWPCA will provide all effort associated with printing and mailing the brochures. Brochures that will be provided are:
 - a. Water Conservation
 - b. Illicit connections to the sewer system, such as sump pumps, foundation drains and roof leaders
 - c. Fats, Oils and Grease (FOG)
- B. ENGINEER will review BWPCA's customer communications model and provide recommendations for improvement that has been effective elsewhere to cast BWPCA brand in a positive way and to educate the public on BWPCA's mission and goals. ENGINEER will create a 5 to 10-minute video of the BWPCA's goals and topics listed above in Task 8A. This video will be able to play in BWPCA's lobby, local cable television, and posted on the internet.

2.0 OWNER'S RESPONSIBILITIES

- 2.1 Provide access to and make all provisions for ENGINEER to access wastewater collection system and pump stations as required for ENGINEER to perform services under this Agreement.
- 2.2 Provide information to ENGINEER as outlined above in Section 1.0.

3.0 TIME PERIOD FOR PERFORMANCE

The time periods for the performance of ENGINEER's services as set forth in Article 2 of said Agreement are as follows:

ENGINEER will provide a Draft Facilities Plan Report within (6) six months of Notice to Proceed.

ENGINEER will incorporate OWNER comments and submit Final Facilities Plan Report within (1) one month of receiving final review comments.

4.0 METHOD OF PAYMENT

The method of payment for Services rendered by ENGINEER shall be as set forth below:

HOURLY BILLING RATE

In the Basic Services performed under Section 1, the OWNER agrees to pay the ENGINEER as follows:

For work done by the ENGINEER at the hourly billing rate for the category of the individual performing the work, for all time directly chargeable to the project. The ENGINEER's schedule of Hourly Billing Rates is attached as Exhibit <u>B.</u>

Actual out-of-pocket expenses, including mileage charges, parking, tolls, printing and reproduction costs, and other miscellaneous costs, incurred specifically for this project will be billed at actual cost.

For work done by subcontract or consultants, at the actual cost to the ENGINEER of such services plus 5 percent.

The total cost of all Basic Services shall not exceed \$299,980.

5.0 SPECIAL PROVISIONS

OWNER has established the following special provisions and/or other considerations or requirements in respect of the Assignment:

N/A

EXHIBIT G

Budget

					Bro Wastewa	Exhibit B Brookfield, CT Wastewater Facilities Plan Budget	olan							
Task	Personnel	Officer	Se. Technical Spec	Project Manager	Seniar Project Engineer	Engineer II	Engineer I	GIS Analyst	Operation Specialist	Hours	- Friday	Outside Professionals	SOGO	Total
	Billable Hourly Rote	\$240.00	\$240.00	\$210.00	\$165.00	\$120.00	\$90,00	\$105.00	\$135.00	l				
Task 1	Document Existing Conditions													
٧	Kickoff Meeting / Preparation	2		12	8	4				56	\$4,800.00		\$250.00	\$5,050.00
8				8	20	50	56			74	\$9,720.00			\$9,720.00
	Task 1 Total	2	0	70	28	24	26	٥	0	100	\$14,520.00	\$0.00	\$250.00	\$14,770,00
Task 2	Collection System Evaluation													
4	Analyze Existing Flow Data / Prior Studies		4	4	20	40	40	4		112	\$13,920,00		2500.00	\$14,420.00
60	SSOAP Analysis		2	2	10	30	30			74	\$8,850.00			\$8,850.00
U	Recommendations for Field Investigations				10		20	2		32	\$3,660.00			\$3,660.00
G	CCTV				10		10			20	\$2,550.00	\$25,000,00		\$27,550.00
	Task 2 Total	0	9	9	20	20	100	9	0	238	\$28,980.00	\$25,000.00	\$500.00	\$54,480.00
Task 3	Pump Station Evaluation													
V	Field Inspections			12	24	24				09	\$9,360,00		\$1,000.00	\$10,360.00
60	50Ps			2		œ	14		64	88	\$11,280.00			\$11,280.00
Ų	Invetory Data Sheets			2	2	80	84	20		116	\$11,370.00			\$11,370.00
	Task 3 Total	0	0	16	26	40	86	20	64	264	\$32,010.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00	\$33,010.00
Task 4	Evaluate Sewer Needs Areas													
٧	Evaluate Future Sewer Service Flow Projections			12	40	20	100	80		180	521,360,00			\$21,360,00
en en	Review Existing Records			2	16		56	12		86	\$9,360.00			\$9,360.00
u	Update Sewer Needs Map			2	4		12	20		38	\$4,260.00			\$4,260.00
۵	Wastewater Disposal Options			4	20		20	20		64	\$8,040.00			\$8,040,00
	Task 4 Total	0	0	70	80	50	188	9	0	368	\$43,020.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$43,020.00
Task S	Review of Procedures / Standards and Benchmarking													
ď	Review Staffing Levels		9	16		40				62	\$9,600,00			29,600.00
8	Review Rules and Regulations		4	œ		30				42	\$6,240.00			\$6,240.00
U	Review Ordinances		2	، و		16				24	53,660 00			53,660,00
0	Review Standards		۽ ه	x P	74	4 8	9	4		47	27,250.00	00 00	90 93	00.095,75
Truck C	Cash 3 lotal	9	9	20	47	PK .	•	2		710	00,000,126	no oc	00.00	377,000,00
A Ash	Region Engine Figureial and Budgetare Data		,	4	4	80	36			54	\$6.180.00			\$6.180.00
m	Revenue Estimate		2	4	4	16				26	\$3,900.00			\$3,900.00
U	Alternative Projections of Revenue Requirements		5	4	4	20	28			61	57,620,00			\$7,620.00
G	Assess Current Billing Approach		16	4	40	48				108	\$17,040,00			\$17,040.00
	Task 6 Total	0	25	16	52	92	29	0	0	249	\$34,740.00	\$0.00	80.00	\$34,740.00
Task7	Wastewater Facilities Plan Report													
٧	Progress Meetings	4		32	16					52	\$10,320.00		\$800.00	\$11,120.00
œ	Conservation and Development Coordination				80		20	10		38	54,170.00			\$4,170.00
ن	Wastewater Facilities Plan Report		16	40	80	100	80	40	16	384	\$53,880,00			\$53,880.00
	Task 7 Total	ıl 16	16	72	104	100	100	50	16	474	\$68,370.00	\$0.00	\$800.00	\$69,170,00
Task 8	[Marketing / Branding													
ď	Marketing / Branding			4	20			30		54	\$7,290.00			\$7,290.00
m	Nideo			4	40	•				44	57,440.00	29,000.00		516,440.00
	Task 8 Tota		0	00	90	0	٥	30	0	86	514,730.00	29,000.00		\$23,730.00
	TOTAL BASE TASKS	S 18	65	196	424	436	576	166	80	1,961	\$263,430.00	\$34,000.00	52,550.00	\$299,980.00

COST OF PRICE SUMMARY FOR SUBAGREEMEN (See accompanying instructions before comp		J.S. EPA GRANTS		Form Approved
toce accompanying man across vegere conf	0 3	GENERAL		OMB No. 158-RO144
1, GRANTEE	.,		2. GRANT NUMBER	
Town of Brookfield, Connecticut			TBD	
3, NAME OF CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR			4. DATE OF PROPOSAL	21 2010
CDM Smith Inc. 5. ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR (Include Zip Code	,,	6, TYPE OF SERVICE TO I	December	r 21, 2018
77 Hartland St	;)	Consulting Services	DE FORNISHED	
Suite 201		Wastewater Facilities	Planning	
East Hartford, CT 06108		Wastewater Lacinties	or mining	
	PART II -	COST SUMMARY		
7. DIRECT LABOR (Specify labor categories)	ESTI- MATED HOURS	HOURLY RATE	ESTIMATED COST	TOTAL
Senior Project Manager / Technical Specialist	83	\$80.00	\$6,640.00	
Project Manager	196	\$70.00	\$13,720.00	
Senior Project Engineer	424	\$55.00	\$23,320.00	
Engineer II	436	\$40.00	\$17,440.00	
Engineer I	576	\$30.00	\$17,280.00	
GIS Analyst	166	\$35.00	\$5,810.00	
O&M Specialist	80	\$45.00	\$3,600.00	
DIRECT LABOR TO	TAL:			\$87,81
B, INDIRECT COSTS (Specify indirect cost pools)	RATE	X BASE =	ESTIMATED COST	
Direct Overhead, General & Administration	1.6675	\$87,810.00	\$146,423.18	
			<u> </u>	**
INDIRECT COSTS TO	TAL:			\$146,42
9. OTHER DIRECT COSTS				
a. TRAVEL			ESTIMATED COST	
(1) TRANSPORTATION			\$2,400.00	
(2) PER DIEM			\$150.00	
TRAVEL SUBTO	TAL:		\$2,550.00	
b. EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, SUPPLIES (Specify Categories)	QTY	COST	ESTIMATED COST	
EQUIPMENT SUBTO	TAL:			
C. SUBCONTRACTS			ESTIMATED COST	
JK Muir, LLC (WBE)			\$25,000.00	
American View Productions (MBE)			\$9,000.00	
SUBCONTRACTS SUBTO	ΓAL:		\$34,000.00	
d. OTHER (Specify Categories)			ESTIMATED COST	
OTHER SUBTO	TAL:			
e. OTHER DIRECT COSTS TO	TAL:			\$36,55
10. TOTAL ESTIMATED COST				\$270,78
1. PROFIT				\$29,27
12. TOTAL PRICE EPA Form 5700-41 (2-76)				\$299.98 page 1

PART III - PRICE	SUMMARY	
13. COMPETITOR'S CATALOG LISTINGS, IN-HOUSE ESTIMATES, PRIOR QUOTES	MARKET	PROPOSED
(Indicate basis for price competition)	PRICE(S) PRICE
		7=1m,0101;2m;15:15-15;
	-	
	 	
	 	17 A.S. XII. S. XIII. T. A.
	1	The Tay of the
	 	
	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	\$299,980
PART IV - CERTI	FICATION	Ψ277,700
14. CONTRACTOR CDM Smith Inc.	IOATION	
14a. HAS A FEDERAL AGENCY OR A FEDERALLY CERTIFIED STATE OR LOCAL AGENC	Y PERFORMED ANY REVIEW OF YOUR	
ACCOUNTS OR RECORDS IN CONNECTION WITH ANY OTHER FEDERAL GRANT OF	R CONTRACT WITHIN THE PAST TWELVE MO	NTHS?
[X] YES [] NO (If "Yes" give name and telephone number of reviewing office)		
Massachusetts DO7		
Ten Park Plaza, Sui	te 4160	
•	1100	
Boston, MA 02116	41 CED 1 150 11 154	
14b. THIS SUMMARY CONFORMS WITH THE FOLLOWING COST PRINCIPALS:	41 CFR 1 - 15.2 and 1 - 15.4	
44.		
14c. This proposal is submitted for use in connection with and in respo	ase to (1)	
This proposal is submitted for use in confidential with and in respo		
Wastewater Facilities Planning This is t	certify to the best of my knowledge	
and belief that the cost and pricing data summarized herein are con	nplete, current, and accurate as of	
	agement capability exists to fully and	
rately account for the financial transactions under this project. I f	urther certify that I understand that th	e
subagreement price may be subject to downward renegotiation an pricing data have been determined, as a result of audit, not to have		
of the date above.	to been complete, current and accura	ne as
of the date above.		
(3)		
DATE OF EXECUTION	SIGNATURE OF PROPOSER	
	TITLE OF PROPOSER	
14. GRANTEE REVIEWER		
I certify that I have reviewed the cost/price summary set forth herein	and the proposed costs/price appear	
acceptable for subagreement award.		
DATE OF EXECUTION	SIGNATURE OF REVIEWER	
	TITLE OF REVIEWER	-
16. EPA REVIEWER (if applicable)		
	0.000	
DATE OF EXECUTION	SIGNATURE OF REVIEWER	
	TITLE OF REVIEWER	